

On The Fine Spectrum of Fibonacci-type Operators on Banach Space ℓ_1

Vandanjav Adiyasuren and Undrakh Batzorig

Department of Mathematical Analysis

National University of Mongolia

Ulaanbaatar, Mongolia

e-mail: V_Adiyasuren@yahoo.com, Batzorig_u@yahoo.com

Abstract

In this paper, we examine the fine spectrum of Lucas and Lucas like operators in Banach space ℓ_1 .

Keywords: Banach space, linear operator, dual space, bounded operator, spectrum.

1 Preliminaries and notations.

By ω , we shall denote the space of all real or complex valued sequences. Any vector subspace of ω is called a sequence space. Let λ and μ be two sequence spaces and $A = (a_{nk})$ be an infinite matrix of real or complex numbers a_{nk} . Then, we say that A defines a matrix mapping from λ into μ , and we denote it by $A : \lambda \rightarrow \mu$ if for every sequence $x = (x_k) \in \lambda$, the sequence $Ax = \{(Ax)_n\}$, the A -transform of x , is in μ , where

$$(Ax)_n = \sum_k a_{nk} x_k \quad (1)$$

Let X be a Banach space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a bounded linear operator. By $R(T)$, we denote the range of T , i.e.,

$$R(T) = \{y \in X : y = Tx, x \in X\}. \quad (2)$$

By $B(X)$, we denote the set of all bounded linear operators on X into itself. If $T \in B(X)$, then the adjoint T^* of T is a bounded linear operator on the dual space X^* of X defined by $(T^*f)(x) = f(Tx)$ for all $f \in X^*$ and $x \in X$.

Let $X \neq \{\theta\}$ be a complex normed space and $T : D(T) \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator with domain $D(T) \subseteq X$. With T we associate the operator

$$T_\lambda = T - \lambda I, \quad (3)$$

where λ is a complex number and I is the identity operator on $D(T)$. If T_λ has an inverse which is linear, we denote it by T_λ^{-1} , that is

$$T_\lambda^{-1} = (T - \lambda I)^{-1}, \quad (4)$$

and call it the *resolvent* operator of T . Many properties of T_λ and T_λ^{-1} depend on λ , and spectral theory is concerned with those properties. For instance, we shall be interested in the set of all λ in the complex plane such that T_λ^{-1} exists. The boundedness of T_λ^{-1} is another property that will be essential. We shall also ask for what λ 's the domain of T_λ^{-1} is dense in X , to name just a few aspects.

Definition 1.1. Let $X \neq \{\theta\}$ be a complex normed space and $T : D(T) \rightarrow X$ be a linear operator with domain $D(T) \subseteq X$. A *regular value* λ of T is a complex number such that :

- (R1) T_λ^{-1} exists,
- (R2) T_λ^{-1} is bounded,
- (R3) T_λ^{-1} is defined on a set which is dense in X .

The *resolvent set* of T , denoted by $\rho(T, X)$, is the set of all regular values λ of T . Its complement $\sigma(T, X) = \mathbb{C} \setminus \rho(T, X)$ in the complex plane \mathbb{C} is called the *spectrum* of T . Furthermore, the spectrum $\sigma(T, X)$ is partitioned into three disjoint sets as follows: The *point(discrete) spectrum* $\sigma_p(T, X)$ is the set such that T_λ^{-1} does not exist. Any such $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$ is called an *eigenvalue* of T .

The *continuous spectrum* $\sigma_c(T, X)$ is the set such that T_λ^{-1} exists and satisfies (R3) but not (R2), that is, T_λ^{-1} is unbounded.

The *residual spectrum* $\sigma_r(T, X)$ is the set such that T_λ^{-1} exists (and may be bounded or not) but does not satisfy (R3), that is, the domain of T_λ^{-1} is not dense in X .

Hence if $(T - \lambda I)x = \theta$ for some $x \neq \theta$, then $\lambda \in \sigma_p(T, X)$, by definition, that is, λ is an eigenvalue of T . The vector x is then called an *eigenvector* of T corresponding to the eigenvalue λ .

Lemma 1.2. [1] *The matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ gives rise to a bounded linear operator $T \in B(\ell_1)$ from ℓ_1 to itself if and only if the supremum of ℓ_1 norms of the columns of A is bounded.*

Lemma 1.3. [1] *The matrix $A = (a_{nk})$ gives rise to a bounded linear operator $T \in B(\ell_\infty)$ from ℓ_∞ to itself if and only if the supremum of ℓ_∞ norms of the columns of A is bounded.*

2 The Spectrum of the Lucas and Lucas-like Operators on the Sequence Space ℓ_1

Let the linear operator $L_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1$ be defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \rightarrow \left(x_n + x_{n+1} + 2 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} x_k, 2x_1, 2x_2, \dots \right) \quad (5)$$

Each L_n is bounded and its matrix representation in the standard basis is

$$\mathbf{L}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}^{n-1} & 1 & 1 & 2 & 2 & \dots & & & & & \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

In this paper, our purpose is to investigate the fine spectrum of Lucas operator and Lucas-like operator in the space ℓ_1

Theorem 2.1.

$$\sigma_p(L_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^{n+2} - 2\lambda^{n+1} - 2^{n-1}\lambda^2 - 2^{n+1} = 0, |\lambda| > 2\} \quad (7)$$

$$\rho(L_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^{n+2} - 2\lambda^{n+1} - 2^{n-1}\lambda^2 - 2^{n+1} \neq 0, |\lambda| > 2\} \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_r(L_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 2, \lambda \neq 2\} \quad (9)$$

$$\sigma_c(L_n, \ell_1) = \{2\}. \quad (10)$$

Proof. Our proof is divided into following four steps

Step 1. Now we have to solve following equation

$$(\lambda I - L_n)x = 0, x \neq 0 \quad (11)$$

The equation (11) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_1 &= x_n + x_{n+1} + 2 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} x_k \\ \lambda x_2 &= 2x_1 \\ \lambda x_3 &= 2x_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda x_{k+1} &= 2x_k \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Since $\lambda = 0$ implies $x = 0$, zero is not an element $\sigma_p(L_n, \ell_1)$. Then we have $\lambda \neq 0$, by applying (12) recursively, we have

$$x_{k+1} = \frac{2}{\lambda} x_k = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 x_{k-1} = \dots = \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^k x_1, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (13)$$

Hence

$$x = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{2}{\lambda} & \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \dots & \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^k & \dots \end{pmatrix}^t \quad (14)$$

and

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{2^k}{|\lambda|^k}. \quad (15)$$

If $|\lambda| \leq 2$, then $\|x\|_1 = \infty$, so $x \notin \ell_1$. If $|\lambda| > 2$, then $\|x\|_1 = |x_1| \frac{|\lambda|}{|\lambda|-2}$. Inserting (13) in to

the first equality of (12) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \lambda x_1 - \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1} x_1 - \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^n x_1 - 2 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} x_1 \\
&= x_1 \left(\lambda - \frac{2^{n-1}}{\lambda^{n-1}} - \frac{2^n}{\lambda^n} - 2 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \left[1 + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \dots \right] \right) \\
&= x_1 \left(\frac{\lambda^{n+1} - 2^{n-1}\lambda - 2^n}{\lambda^n} - 2 \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{2}{\lambda}} \right) \\
&= x_1 \frac{\lambda^{n+2} - 2\lambda^{n+1} - 2^{n-1}\lambda^2 - 2^{n+1}}{\lambda^n(\lambda - 2)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1 \neq 0$, we conclude that $\sigma_p(L_n, \ell_1)$ consists of those roots of the polynomial

$$P_{n+2}(\lambda) = \lambda^{n+2} - 2\lambda^{n+1} - 2^{n-1}\lambda^2 - 2^{n+1} \quad (16)$$

for which $|\lambda| > 2$, as stated in (12). Since $P_{n+2}(2) = -2^{n+2} < 0$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $P_{n+2}(\frac{5}{2}) = 5^{n+1} - 9 \cdot 4^n > 0$ for all positive integers such that $n \geq 3$ and $P'_{n+2}(\lambda) > 0$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 2$, that is, P_{n+2} is strictly increasing for $\lambda > 2$, from here we have the polynomial P_{n+2} has exactly one real root larger than 2, we conclude that L_n has exactly one real eigenvalue larger than two. Let us denote this eigenvalue by $\lambda_{\max}(L_n)$. By Ostrovsky's theorem (V.V.Prasolov [3, Theorem 1.1.4,p.3]), $\lambda_{\max}(L_n)$ is the unique positive root of $P_{n+2}(\lambda)$ and the absolute values of all other roots are strictly smaller. Consequently, all other eigenvalues of L_n are in absolute value strictly smaller than $\lambda_{\max}(L_n)$ which, in turn, implies

$$r_{\sigma}(L_n) = \lambda_{\max}(L_n) \quad (17)$$

Step 2. Now we have to solve following equation,

$$(\lambda I - L_n)x = y, x \neq 0. \quad (18)$$

The equation (18) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda x_1 - (x_n + x_{n+1}) - 2 \sum_{k=n+2}^{\infty} x_k &= y_1 \\
\lambda x_2 - 2x_1 &= y_2 \\
\lambda x_3 - 2x_2 &= y_3 \\
&\vdots \\
\lambda x_{k+1} - 2x_k &= y_{k+1} \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Using (19), we have

$$a = \frac{2x_n}{\lambda} + \frac{2a}{\lambda} + \frac{b}{\lambda}$$

and

$$y_1 = \lambda x_1 - x_n + x_{n+1} - 2a,$$

where

$$a = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x_k, \quad b = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} y_k$$

After rearranging, we have

$$a = \frac{1}{\lambda - 2}(2x_n + b).$$

Thus,

$$y_1 = \lambda x_1 + x_{n+1} - \frac{(\lambda + 2)x_n + 2b}{\lambda - 2} \quad (20)$$

By recursively applying (19), we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \frac{2}{\lambda}x_1 + \frac{1}{\lambda}y_2 \\ x_3 &= \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 x_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 y_2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) y_3 \\ &\vdots \\ x_{k+1} &= \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^k x_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^k y_2 + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{k-1} y_3 + \cdots + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) y_{k+1}\right] \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Inserting x_n and x_{n+1} into (20) gives

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \lambda x_1 + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^n x_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^n y_2 + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1} y_3 + \cdots + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) y_{n+1}\right] \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda + 2}{\lambda - 2}\right)\left[\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1} x_1 + \frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n-1} y_2 + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} y_3 + \cdots + \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right) y_n\right]\right] \\ &\quad - \frac{2b}{\lambda - 2} \end{aligned}$$

and solving for x_1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &= \frac{1}{P_{n+2}(\lambda)}(\lambda^n(\lambda - 2)y_1 + (\lambda^2 + 4)[2^{n-2}\lambda^0 y_2 + 2^{n-3}\lambda^1 y_3 + \cdots + 2^0\lambda^{n-2}y_n] \\ &\quad + (2\lambda^{n-1} - \lambda^n)y_{n+1} + 2\lambda^n b) \end{aligned}$$

By inserting this into (21) we have

$$x = (\lambda I - L_n)^{-1}y = \frac{1}{P_{n+2}(\lambda)}(A + B)y,$$

where the matrix representations of A and B are given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda^n(\lambda-2) & (\lambda^2+4)2^{n-2} & \dots & (\lambda^2+4)\lambda^{n-2} & \lambda^n+2\lambda^{n-1} & 2\lambda^n & \dots \\ 2\lambda^{n-1}(\lambda-2) & \frac{(\lambda^2+4)2^{n-1}}{\lambda} & \dots & (\lambda^2+4)\lambda^{n-3} & \frac{2(\lambda^n+2\lambda^{n-1})}{\lambda} & 2^2\lambda^{n-1} & \dots \\ 2^2\lambda^{n-2}(\lambda-2) & \frac{(\lambda^2+4)2^n}{\lambda^2} & \dots & (\lambda^2+4)\lambda^{n-4} & \frac{2^2(\lambda^n+2\lambda^{n-1})}{\lambda^2} & 2^3\lambda^{n-2} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 2^{n-1}\lambda(\lambda-2) & \frac{(\lambda^2+4)2^{2n-3}}{\lambda^{n-2}} & \dots & (\lambda^2+4)\lambda^{-1} & \frac{2^n(\lambda^n+2\lambda^{n-1})}{\lambda^n} & 2^n\lambda & \dots \\ 2^n(\lambda-2) & \frac{(\lambda^2+4)2^{2n-2}}{\lambda^{n-1}} & \dots & (\lambda^2+4)\lambda^{-2} & \frac{2^{n+1}(\lambda^n+2\lambda^{n-1})}{\lambda^{n+1}} & 2^{n+1} & \dots \\ \frac{2^{n+1}(\lambda-2)}{\lambda} & \frac{(\lambda^2+4)2^{2n-1}}{\lambda^n} & \dots & (\lambda^2+4)\lambda^{-3} & \frac{2^{n+2}(\lambda^n+2\lambda^{n-1})}{\lambda^{n+2}} & \frac{2^{n+2}}{\lambda} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^3 & \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{2}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \frac{1}{\lambda} & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

Obviously, for $|\lambda| > 2$ by the lemma1.2 we have $\|A\|_1 < \infty$ and $\|B\|_1 < \infty$. Thus for $|\lambda| > 2$ and λ not being the root of $\lambda^{n+2} - 2\lambda^{n+1} - 2^{n-1}\lambda^2 - 2^{n+1}$, the operator $\lambda I - L_n$ has a bounded inverse, so the resolvent set of L_n is given by (8).

It should be noted that the adjoint operator L_n^* of L_n is an operator on the dual space of ℓ_1 which is isometrically isomorphic to Banach space ℓ_∞ . Hence we have

$$\sigma_r(L_n, \ell_1) \subseteq \sigma_p(L_n^*, \ell_\infty) \quad (22)$$

Step 3. In this step we would prove that

$$\sigma_p(L_n^*, \ell_\infty) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 2, \lambda \neq 2\} \quad (23)$$

$$(\lambda I - L_n^*)x = 0, \quad x \neq 0, \quad \|x\|_\infty < \infty \quad (24)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) x_1 \\
x_3 &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) x_2 = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 x_1 \\
&\vdots \\
x_{n-1} &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) x_{n-2} = \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n-2} x_1 \\
x_n &= \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \right] x_1 \\
x_{n+1} &= \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^n - \frac{\lambda}{2^2} - 1 \right] x_1 \\
x_{n+2} &= \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n+1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - 1 \right] x_1 \\
&\vdots \\
x_{n+k} &= \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n+k-1} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^k - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{k-1} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{k-2} - \dots - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - 1 \right] x_1 \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{n+k} &= \left[\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{n+k-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^k - \frac{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{k+1} - 1}{\left(\frac{\lambda}{2}\right) - 1} \right] x_1 \\
&= \left[\mu^{n+k-1} + \mu^k - \frac{\mu^{k+1} - 1}{\mu - 1} \right] x_1
\end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\lambda}{2} = \mu$, so if $|\lambda| \leq 2$ then $|\mu| \leq 1$. For $|\mu| \leq 1, \mu \neq 1$ we have

$$|x_k| < \left(2 + \frac{2}{|\mu - 1|} \right) |x_1|.$$

Thus by lemma1.3 $\|x\|_\infty < \infty$. For $\lambda = 2$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
x_2 &= x_1, \\
x_3 &= x_1, \\
&\vdots \\
x_{n-1} &= x_1, \\
x_n &= \frac{1}{2}x_1, \\
x_{n+1} &= -\frac{1}{2}x_1, \\
x_{n+2} &= -\frac{3}{2}x_1, \\
&\vdots \\
x_{n+k} &= -\frac{2k-1}{2}x_1, \\
&\vdots
\end{aligned}$$

so $\|x\|_\infty = \infty$. We conclude that the point spectrum of L_n^* is given by (23). This, in turn with (22), implies (9) as described before. *Step 4.*

$$\sigma_c(L_n, \ell_1) = \mathbb{C} \setminus (\rho(L_n, \ell_1) \cup \sigma_p(L_n, \ell_1) \cup \sigma_r(L_n, \ell_1)) = \{2\}$$

□

Let the linear operator $T_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1$ be defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \rightarrow \left(x_n + 2 \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} x_k, 2x_1, 2x_2, \dots \right) \quad (25)$$

Each T_n is bounded and its matrix representation in the standard basis is

$$\mathbf{T}_n = \begin{pmatrix} \overbrace{0 \ \dots \ 0}^{n-1} & 1 & 2 & 2 & 2 & \dots & & & & \\ 2 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 2 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & & & & & \end{pmatrix} \quad (26)$$

By the similarly method above Theorem2.1 we can prove following theorem:

Theorem 2.2.

$$\sigma_p(T_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^{n+1} - 2\lambda^n - 2^{n-1}\lambda - 2^n = 0, |\lambda| > 2\} \quad (27)$$

$$\rho(T_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^{n+1} - 2\lambda^n - 2^{n-1}\lambda - 2^n \neq 0, |\lambda| > 2\} \quad (28)$$

$$\sigma_r(T_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq 2, \lambda \neq 2\} \quad (29)$$

$$\sigma_c(T_n, \ell_1) = \{2\}. \quad (30)$$

Let the linear operator $Q_n : \ell_1 \rightarrow \ell_1$ be defined by

$$(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots) \rightarrow \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k, nx_1, nx_2, \dots \right) \quad (31)$$

Each Q_n is bounded and its matrix representation in the standard basis is

$$\mathbf{Q}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & \dots \\ n & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & n & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & n & 0 & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (32)$$

Theorem 2.3.

$$\sigma_p(Q_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda = n + 1\} \quad (33)$$

$$\rho(Q_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : \lambda^2 - (n + 1)\lambda \neq 0, |\lambda| > n\} \quad (34)$$

$$\sigma_r(Q_n, \ell_1) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq n, \lambda \neq n\} \quad (35)$$

$$\sigma_c(Q_n, \ell_1) = \{n\}. \quad (36)$$

Proof. Our proof is divided into following four steps

Step 1. Now we have to solve following equation

$$(\lambda I - Q_n)x = 0, x \neq 0 \quad (37)$$

The equation (37) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k \\ \lambda x_2 &= nx_1 \\ \lambda x_3 &= nx_2 \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda x_{k+1} &= nx_k \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

Since $\lambda = 0$ implies $x = 0$, zero is not an element $\sigma_p(Q_n, \ell_1)$. Then we have $\lambda \neq 0$, by applying (38) recursively, we have

$$x_{k+1} = \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) x_k = \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 x_{k-1} = \dots = \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^k x_1, \quad k \geq 1. \quad (39)$$

Hence

$$x = x_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{n}{\lambda} & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \dots & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^k & \dots \end{pmatrix}^t \quad (40)$$

and

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^k}{|\lambda|^k}. \quad (41)$$

If $|\lambda| \leq n$, then $\|x\|_1 = \infty$, so $x \notin \ell_1$. If $|\lambda| > n$, then $\|x\|_1 = |x_1| \frac{|\lambda|}{|\lambda| - n}$. Inserting (39) in to the first equality of (38) gives

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lambda x_1 - x_1 - \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) x_1 - \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 x_1 - \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3 x_1 - \dots \\ &= x_1 \left[\lambda - 1 - \frac{n}{\lambda} \left(1 + \frac{n}{\lambda} + \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3 + \dots \right) \right] \\ &= x_1 \left[\lambda - 1 - \frac{n}{\lambda} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{n}{\lambda}} \right] \\ &= x_1 \left[\frac{\lambda^2 - (n+1)\lambda}{\lambda - n} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since $x_1 \neq 0$, we conclude that $\sigma_p(Q_n, \ell_1)$ consists of just one point $n+1$. *Step 2.* Now we have to solve following equation,

$$(\lambda I - Q_n)x = y, x \neq 0. \quad (42)$$

The equation can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda x_1 - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x_k &= y_1 \\ \lambda x_2 - n x_1 &= y_2 \\ \lambda x_3 - n x_2 &= y_3 \\ &\vdots \\ \lambda x_{k+1} - n x_k &= y_{k+1} \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

Using , we have

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \frac{n}{\lambda}(a + x_1) + \frac{1}{\lambda} \cdot b \\ \text{and} \\ y_1 &= \lambda x_1 - x_1 - a \end{aligned}$$

,where

$$a = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} x_k, \quad b = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} y_k$$

After rearranging, we have

$$a = \frac{nx_1 + b}{\lambda - n}.$$

Thus,

$$y_1 = \frac{\lambda^2 - (n+1)\lambda}{\lambda - n} x_1 - \frac{b}{\lambda - n}. \quad (44)$$

Hence, we have

$$x_1 = \frac{1}{\lambda^2 - (n+1)\lambda} [(\lambda - n)y_1 + b] \quad (45)$$

By recursively applying (43) and denoting that $f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - (n+1)\lambda$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \frac{1}{f(\lambda)} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda} (\lambda - n) y_1 + \frac{n}{\lambda} b \right) + \frac{1}{\lambda} y_2 \\ x_3 &= \frac{1}{f(\lambda)} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} \right)^2 (\lambda - n) y_1 + \left(\frac{n}{\lambda} \right)^2 b \right) + \frac{n}{\lambda^2} y_2 + \frac{1}{\lambda} y_3 \\ &\vdots \\ x_{k+1} &= \frac{1}{f(\lambda)} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} \right)^k (\lambda - n) y_1 + \left(\frac{n}{\lambda} \right)^k b \right) + \frac{1}{n} \left(\left(\frac{n}{\lambda} \right)^k y_2 + \cdots + \left(\frac{n}{\lambda} \right) y_{k+1} \right) \\ &\vdots \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

Using (46) we have

$$x = (\lambda I - Q_n)^{-1} y = \left(\frac{1}{f(\lambda)} A + B \right) y,$$

where the matrix representations of A and B are given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - n) & 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots \\ \frac{n}{\lambda}(\lambda - n) & \frac{n}{\lambda} & \frac{n}{\lambda} & \frac{n}{\lambda} & \cdots \\ \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2(\lambda - n) & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \cdots \\ \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3(\lambda - n) & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3 & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3 & \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (47)$$

and

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ 0 & \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^3 & \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right)^2 & \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n}{\lambda}\right) & 0 & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix} \quad (48)$$

Obviously, for $|\lambda| > n$ by lemma1.2 we have $\|A\|_1 < \infty$ and $\|B\|_1 < \infty$. Thus for $|\lambda| > n$ and λ not being the root of $f(\lambda)$, the operator $\lambda I - Q_n$ has a bounded inverse, so the resolvent set of Q_n is given by.

It should be noted that the adjoint operator Q_n^* of Q_n is an operator on the dual space of ℓ_1 which is isometrically isomorphic to Banach space ℓ_∞ . Hence we have

$$\sigma_r(Q_n, \ell_1) \subseteq \sigma_p(Q_n^*, \ell_\infty) \quad (49)$$

Step 3. In this step we would prove that

$$\sigma_p(Q_n^*, \ell_\infty) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : |\lambda| \leq n, \lambda \neq n\} \quad (50)$$

$$(\lambda I - Q_n^*)x = 0, \quad x \neq 0, \quad \|x\|_\infty < \infty \quad (51)$$

This is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \left(\frac{\lambda-1}{n}\right)x_1 \\ x_3 &= \frac{\lambda x_2 - x_1}{n} = \left[\frac{\lambda(\lambda-1)}{n^2} - \frac{1}{n}\right]x_1 \\ &\vdots \\ x_{k+1} &= \left[\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k-1} (\lambda-1) - \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k-2} - \frac{1}{\lambda} \left(\left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k-2} + \dots + \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)\right)\right]x_1 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} x_k &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k-2} \left(\frac{\lambda}{n} - \frac{1}{n}\right)x_1 - \left(\frac{\lambda}{n}\right)^{k-3} x_1 - \frac{\lambda^{k-3} - n^{k-3}}{(\lambda-n)n^{k-3}}x_1 \\ &= \left[\mu^{k-1} - \frac{\mu^{k-2}}{n} - \mu^{k-3} - \frac{\mu^{k-3} - 1}{(\mu-1)n^{k-3}}\right]x_1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\frac{\lambda}{n} = \mu$, so if $|\lambda| \leq n$ then $|\mu| \leq 1$. For $|\mu| \leq 1, \mu \neq 1$ we have

$$\forall k \geq 3 : |x_k| < \left(2 + \frac{1}{n} + \frac{2}{|\mu-1|}\right)|x_1|.$$

By lemma1.3 $\|x\|_\infty < \infty$. For $\lambda = n$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} x_2 &= \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)x_1, \\ x_3 &= \left(1 - \frac{2}{n}\right)x_1, \\ x_4 &= -\frac{2}{n}x_1 \\ x_5 &= -\frac{3}{n}x_1 \\ &\vdots \\ x_{k+1} &= -\frac{k-1}{n}x_1, \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $\|x\|_\infty = \infty \Rightarrow x \notin \ell_\infty$. We conclude that the point spectrum of Q_n^* is given by (50). This, in turn with (49), implies (35). *Step 4.*

$$\sigma_c(Q_n, \ell_1) = \mathbb{C} \setminus (\rho(Q_n, \ell_1) \cup \sigma_p(Q_n, \ell_1) \cup \sigma_r(Q_n, \ell_1)) = \{n\}$$

□

References

- [1] B.Choudhary and S.Nanda, Functional Analysis with Applications, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, Chichester, Brisbane, Toronto, Singapore, 1989.
- [2] S. GOLDBERG, Unbounded linear operators, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
- [3] V.V.Prasolov, Polynomials, Springer, Berlin, 2004.
- [4] I.Slapnicar, On the spectra of generalized Fibonacci and Fibonacci-like operators, Operators and Matrices **6**, 1 (2012), 49-62